

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugining 22313-1450

			· ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/092,875	03/05/2002	Paul A. Morgan	M122-1798	9981
	590 05/07/2003			
WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828			EXAMINER	
			KILDAY, LISA A	
_,	3020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Office Action Summary	10/092,875	MORGAN ET AL.			
	Canada Sammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Lisa A Kilday	2829			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any - Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	arch 2002				
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower	ICO except for formal metters				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1 85(a)			
11)∟ T	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)∐ Acl	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) [a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been required					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev. (Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Support					

Application/Control Number: 10/092,875

Art Unit: 2829

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figures 1 & 2

Species II: Figures 3 &4

Species III: Figure 5

Species IV: Figures 6 & 7

Species V: Figure 8

After the Species is chosen, applicant must choose between the following embodiments (A-E):

- A. Etching ambient pressure (pg. 9, ¶1):
 - 1. Atmospheric pressure
 - 2. Greater than atmospheric.
- B. How the liquid solution and ozone are sprayed onto the layer (pg. 9, ¶1):
 - 1. Separate emitter
 - 2. Common emitter
- C. Is substrate spun while spraying occurs (pg. 9, ¶1):
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No
- D. Is a masking material formed over the metal (pg. 11, ¶2):
 - 1. Yes, go to E1 & E2

Application/Control Number: 10/092,875

Art Unit: 2829

2. No

E. Type of masking material (pg. 11, ¶2; pg. 13, ¶1):

- 1. Organic
- 2. Inorganic

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. The applicant must elect one embodiment from Group A-E. If the applicant elects any Species I-V, the applicant must specify which embodiment (A-E) he is electing. The applicant must choose an embodiment when electing a Species.

Applicant is required to pick one embodiment from the five groups that include one element from each of the groups A-E. For example, one embodiment of Species I with embodiment A1B1C1D1E1 would be a method of making a semiconductor device when etching under Atmospheric pressure, spraying the liquid solution and ozone through a separate emitter, while spinning the substrate, and forming an organic masking material over the metal.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,875

Art Unit: 2829

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mark Martin on 4/22/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0957. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo, can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3432. MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

Lisa Kilday

LAK

4/22/03

KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800